1	JUDGE MILLER: All right. Paragraph 19 sets up the,
2	the upcoming September 30, 1993, Evidentiary Admissions
3	Session, and there each of you, TMC first, followed by Pacific
4	Bell, will formally identify and offer into evidence the
5	exhibits you exchanged today and I'll rule on any objections
6	that you have to your opponent's exhibits. When that is
7	completed, we'll, we'll set up a cross-examination notifica-
8	tion. In other words, you, you once you know what evidence
9	is in and what evidence is out, you'll be in a position to
10	say: here's who I want to cross-examine. There may be some
11	people you don't. And if, if, if you don't want to cross-
12	examine them, that's going to save us all some hearing time,
13	if you are able to tell us. I'm not encouraging that particu-
14	lar method. I just say that that's, that's life. But if you
15	say you want to cross-examine them, then, then I'll hear if
16	there's, if there's any argument about whether they should be
17	brought or not, I'll hear it. But that will be all take
18	place on September 30, 1993, or October 1st if we run over.
19	All right?
20	MR. HELEIN: Your Honor, is that will all be done
21	orally, I
22	JUDGE MILLER: Yeah.
23	MR. HELEIN: take it?
24	JUDGE MILLER: Yeah. In the old days we didn't do
25	it that way. In the old days we used to have a written

procedure that you -- that followed about a week behind. But 1 the Commission decided that they wanted to streamline the 2 adjudicatory processes and they proceeded to do so, Mr. 3 Helein, with, with people who hadn't been in the hearing 4 room in 15 to 20 years and, as a consequence, we now do things 5 a little differently here. But -- in fact, the Commission 6 has, has indicated that they don't like -- because they're 7 never really in the hearing room, you see. But they, they, 8 9 they don't like cross-examination. That's the essence of it, 10 isn't it, Mr. Waysdorf? Absolutely. And I was surprised to 11 MR. WAYSDORF: 12 hear the -- I share your sentiments, Your Honor. 13 JUDGE MILLER: But I, I have found that looking at 14 -- listening to witnesses and looking at them, even though 15 there is no character qualifications involved, that it, it's 16 just -- I've found, I've found it beneficial myself. 17 have -- after the, after the, the Admissions Session or imme-18 diately thereafter, we'll have a cross-examination notifica-19 tion and there you'll -- each of you will indicate which of 20 your opponents witnesses, if any, you need to cross-examine. 21 Are the procedures clear, Mr. Helein? 22 MR. HELEIN: Yes, Your Honor. I would ask for the 23 clarification in the instance in which much of our case is 24 dependent upon the adverse witnesses. Is there anything 25 different that, that would --

1	JUDGE MILLER: Well, that, that's going to be just
2	like a regular witness and I mean, you can use your state-
3	ments as a basis. But then you will put these people on the
4	stand, and if you want to elicit additional information from
5	them, yes. The, the there we're going to go like, like
6	they do it in court
7	MR. HELEIN: All right.
8	JUDGE MILLER: when, when we get to, to adverse
9	witnesses. If you believe that a witness is, is an adverse
10	witness, I think you ought to get a ruling from the bench,
11	even though they may be you might find that in your mind
12	it's self-evident, they're employees of, of the Defendant. I,
13	I think for your, for your protection you ought to ask that
14	they be so judged. All it means is you can ask them some
15	leading questions.
16	MR. HELEIN: Yes, Your Honor. Now, do you wish to
17	do that at the time that they're
18	JUDGE MILLER: At the time that witness takes the
19	stand.
20	MR. HELEIN: Thank you, Your Honor.
21	JUDGE MILLER: All right. Are the procedures the
22	for the Evidentiary Admissions Session and witness notifi-
23	cation do you have any problems, Ms. Woolf?
24	MS. WOOLF: No.
25	JUDGE MILLER: All right. Okay. Paragraph 20

1	through 22 sets up the hearing procedures. Paragraph 20
2	through 21 deal with the order of things. Any questions about
3	those paragraph, Mr. Helein?
4	MR. HELEIN: No, Your Honor.
5	JUDGE MILLER: Ms. Woolf?
6	MS. WOOLF: No.
7	JUDGE MILLER: Paragraph 22 explains the rebuttal
8	procedures. Any, any questions about that, Mr. Helein?
9	MR. HELEIN: If, if you could I, I certainly
10	understand the language. It's very clear.
11	JUDGE MILLER: You want to know what, what a
12	clear and convincing case for rebuttal is?
13	MR. HELEIN: I would like to have
14	JUDGE MILLER: And I'll tell you
15	MR. HELEIN: His Honor's definition, yes, Your
16	Honor.
17	JUDGE MILLER: Yes. When you once you say to me
18	I, I, I, I want to make a request for rebuttal, I'm going to
19	say in what area are you do you want rebuttal? And you're
20	going to be able to follow it out.
21	MR. HELEIN: Okay.
22	JUDGE MILLER: Who, who is it that you are
23	rebutting? In other words, I, I, I've got to avoid the pit-
24	falls of more red more direct.
25	MR. HELEIN: Yes, sir.

1	JUDGE MILLER: And, and these are the questions I
2	ask. What are you rebutting? Who are you rebutting? How do
3	you intend to, to, to present that rebuttal evidence and when
4	can you present it?
5	MR. HELEIN: Thank you, Your Honor.
6	JUDGE MILLER: Clear?
7	MS. WOOLF: Fine, thank you.
8	JUDGE MILLER: Paragraph 23 and 24 deal with exten-
9	sions of time and hearing dates. It looks like we're going to
10	go, we're going to go to hearing. I don't see that your
11	request for sanctions interferes with the trial. It, it, it
12	is a form of relief that if I grant it will be helpful in you
13	preparing a set of Proposed Findings of Fact and Conclusions
14	of Law.
15	MR. HELEIN: Yes, Your Honor.
16	JUDGE MILLER: But it, it doesn't it need not
17	interfere with our forward progress to developing the record.
18	This is my position clear to here?
19	MR. HELEIN: It is clear and I would agree with it,
20	Your Honor.
21	JUDGE MILLER: As I set out, November 1st through,
22	through the 19th are firm dates, as you, as you probably
23	realize. We won't be meeting on Thursday, November 11th,
24	Veterans Day. But on, on the days that we do meet, we'll
25	begin at 8:30 a.m. and we'll end at 5:30 p.m. with an hour for

lunch. Over the years I've discovered that trials take less
time when they're conducted on a full-day basis with no interruptions other than short scheduled breaks at mid-morning and
mid-afternoon and time for lunch. With that said, let me go
over a couple of ground rules that I've found over the years
kind of helps, helps things run smoother.

Rule one, address the bench and not each other.

Personal colloquys between counsel cause delays, promote confusion, and create a generally complex and unhealthy atmosphere. Now, having said that, I'll be \$20 it's violated. So -- but, in any event, I -- that's -- this is the goal that I'm striving for, addressing the bench and not each other.

Rule two, treat your adverse witnesses with fairness and respect. Be polite. Don't confuse robust litigation and rudeness. There's really no need to be abusive and offensive. If -- it's difficult, even in the less complex cases, let alone 208. That the best cross-examiners I've ever seen just elicited facts. It's been a long time since I've seen one.

Rule three, don't argue with the witness. Counsel can't testify, the witness can, so you're bound to lose the argument. And a corollary to that which we'll cover when we get down the road is don't write findings and conclusions based on what you say, just write them based on the witness says.

We've outlined the way that we're going to proceed,

so have your witnesses ready to go. Now, we're not going to hold up the hearing because a witness or witnesses haven't arrived yet. And don't anticipate, because there are several witnesses ahead of the ones that you have scheduled, that you have ample time to get them here. That type of time cushion has a way of evaporating.

Counsel -- there are quite a few counsel, including

Counsel -- there are quite a few counsel, including Aaron Shanis (phonetic sp.), I could name a lot of them, don't care for what I just said, but I -- so I always would like to tell them why I don't accommodate witnesses. When I accommodate Witness X, I'm disaccomodating Mr. Waysdorf, Mr. Helein, Mr. Manley, Ms. Woolf, Mr. Wyatt, and even you, Ms. Nogales, and, and the reporter and myself. So, I'd, I'd rather disaccommodate the witness and accommodate all of us. And I found out it works better. So, I want my witnesses here and I, and I don't expect any break -- I mean, except the brief one to walk out to the witness room and get them.

We're going to be in -- rule, rule six, we'll be in hearing from 8:30 to 5:30, as I said, with an hour for lunch, so tell your loved ones to leave you the light in the window.

Those rules should help us. And here's the trial philosophy that we're going to proceed under. Trial time is a limited resource, and both the bench and the bar are responsible for using that time wisely. So, there will be few, if any, off-the-record conferences. I don't believe in them.

```
There will be no lobby conferences. Trial time is precious,
 1
    so we will preserve our hearing time for that purpose.
 2
    don't labor under any illusions, but un-- a well-prepared
 3
    trial with competent counsel practically runs itself.
                                                            There
 4
    are few distractions. There are few interruptions. As a
 5
    trial judge, I want each of you to have your day in court
 6
    without either being hurried or detained. So, be fully aware
 7
    the trial -- the, the bench looks at this as trial time.
 8
    Trial time is precious.
 9
              Now, having said that, is there anything further we
10
    need to take up today, Mr. Helein?
11
              MR. HELEIN: I don't believe so, Your Honor.
                                                             Thank
12
    you.
13
              JUDGE MILLER:
                             Ms. Woolf?
14
              MS. WOOLF: No.
15
              JUDGE MILLER:
                             Mr. Wyatt?
16
              MR. WYATT: Well, Your Honor. I was just hoping you
17
    wouldn't mention the Telstar case, but you did.
18
              JUDGE MILLER: I did. Well, you know, nobody in the
19
    room knew I was needling you.
20
              In that case, since there is nothing further we have
21
    to take up today, we stand in adjournment until the
    Evidentiary Admissions Session on September 30, 1993, at 8:30
22
    a.m.
23
              (Whereupon, at 10:00 a.m. the Prehearing Conference
24
    was adjourned.)
25
```

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER, TRANSCRIBER, AND PROOFREADER

IN THE MATTER O	F TMC v. PACIFIC BELL
CC DOCKET NO. 93-Docket No.	-161
WASHINGTON, D.C. Place	
SEPTEMBER 21, 190 Date)3
pages, numberstrue, accurate a reporting bythe above identi provisions of the professional ver. Work and have vecomparing the tyrecording accompainal proofed tyres.	ned, do hereby certify that the foregoing through 57, inclusive, are the nd complete transcript prepared from the ALICE WEHNER in attendance at fied proceeding, in accordance with applicable e current Federal Communications Commission's batim reporting and transcription Statement of rified the accuracy of the transcript by (1) pewritten transcript against the reporting or lished at the proceeding and (2) comparing the pewritten transcript against the reporting or
9/27/93	Diana T. Hallman Transcriber
240,5	Free State Reporting, Inc.
Oct. 1,,1993	Lan & Widell
Date	Diane S. Windell , Proofreader Free State Reporting, Inc.
Oct. 1, 1993	- Gre Welher
Date	Alice Wehner , Reporter Free State Reporting, Inc.

(-,